

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE  
STANDARDS SUB-COMMITTEE HELD IN  
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, WALLFIELDS,  
HERTFORD ON TUESDAY 13 DECEMBER  
2016, AT 7.00 PM

---

PRESENT: Councillor C Woodward (Chairman)  
Councillors P Boylan and B Deering

ALSO PRESENT:

Councillor G McAndrew

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE:

Lorraine Blackburn	- Democratic Services Officer
Kevin Williams	- Acting Head of Legal and Democratic Services

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

Nicholas Moss	- Independent Person
---------------	----------------------

6 APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRMAN

It was moved by Councillor B Deering and seconded by Councillor P Boylan that Councillor C Woodward be appointed Chairman of the Sub- Committee for the meeting.

RESOLVED – that Councillor C Woodward be appointed Chairman of the Sub- Committee for the meeting.

7 MINUTES

RESOLVED – that the Minutes, of the meeting held on 29 November 2016, be approved.

## 8 EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

The Sub-Committee considered whether or not to pass a resolution to exclude the press and public from the meeting during discussion of the item of Minute 9 below on the grounds that it involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the provision of the Local Government act 1972.

The Sub-Committee also considered whether or not to make the associated report publically available.

The Sub-Committee determined not to exclude the press and public from the meeting during the discussion of the matters at Minute 9 below and to make the associated report publically available.

RESOLVED – that (A) the press and public not be excluded from the meeting; and

(B) the report associated with the business recorded in Minute 9 below, be made public.

## 9 INVESTIGATION ON A COMPLAINT AGAINST A FORMER DISTRICT COUNCILLOR

The Council's Monitoring Officer provided a summary of the report and stated that former Councillor J Cartwright was now living in East Africa. He explained that the Investigating Officer had offered to interview Mr Cartwright by Skype which had been declined. Also, no comments had been received in terms of the draft report but that comments had been subsequently received via email on 13 December 2016. These were circulated to Members of the Sub-Committee.

The Chairman stated that the nature of the former Councillor's comments were disappointing and following advice from the Monitoring Officer, Members supported the suggested that Mr Cartwright's comments be made publically available.

The Monitoring Officer drew Members' attention to the

Investigator's Report and recommendations. The Independent Person (IP) explained that, as a matter of formal record, Members needed to consider why the meeting should proceed in the absence of Mr Cartwright. Councillor B Deering commented that Mr Cartwright's email to the Monitoring Officer should provide sufficient validation. Councillor P Boylan added that Mr Cartwright had responded quickly to the Monitoring Officer's email and he had already made a decision not to respond to the Investigator's draft report citing that it was "a complete waste of time".

The IP explained that having considered the evidence, he was satisfied that former Councillor Cartwright was acting in an "official capacity" and there had been a breach of the Council's Code of Conduct. He referred Members to paragraphs 5.20, 5.26 and 5.34 of the report as evidence that the code had been breached and which served to aggravate matters over a long period and that his conduct fell below the expectations the public would have of a Member.

The IP explained that in relation to the breaches of the code, he had also been criticised for other breaches and had failed to attend, as requested, training on the use of Social Media. In mitigation, the IP explained that the breaches were at the "lower end of appropriateness" and that Mr Cartwright did not deny what he had said, that there was some evidence of "pro-action" on his part but that this did not justify the former Councillor's actions. In terms of risk, the IP explained that as he was no longer a Member, the risk he posed of other offences was diminished.

The Monitoring Officer asked Members whether they felt that the former Councillor was acting in an official capacity and whether there had been a breach of the Code of Conduct. He explained that if they agreed that he had acted in an official capacity and that there had been a breach, then the only sanction now available was a motion to censure the former Member at Council. This was supported.

The IP stated that a motion to censure would have no impact on Mr Cartwright personally, but that it was right to let the

public at large know.

The Sub-Committee received the report and agreed that Mr Cartwright had acted in an official capacity and in doing so, had failed to comply with the Code of Conduct. The Sub-Committee agreed that he be censured for his conduct.

RESOLVED - that (A) the report of the investigating officer be received;

(B) former Councillor J Cartwright was acting in his official capacity and in doing so, had failed to comply with the Council's Code of Conduct;

(C) former Councillor J Cartwright be censured for his conduct in respect of the matter detailed in the Investigating Officer's report now submitted; and

(D) the wording of the censure motion be delegated to the Monitoring Officer in consultation with the Chairman of Standards Sub-Committee.

The meeting closed at 7.25 pm

Chairman .....

Date .....